

1 Howard & Howard PLLC
2 Robert L. Rosenthal, Bar No. 6476
3 James A. Kohl, Bar No. 5692
4 Shelley L. Lanzkowsky, Bar No. 9096
5 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1400
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
7 Telephone: (702) 257-1483
8 Facsimile: (702) 567.1568
9 rrosenthal@howardandhoward.com

10 Attorneys for Cycalona Gowen

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

13 CYCALONA GOWEN,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 TILTWARE LLC, FULL TILT POKER,
17 POCKET KINGS LIMITED, POCKET
18 KINGS CONSULTING, LIMITED,
19 KOLYMA CORPORATION, TILTPROOF,
20 INC., RAYMOND BITAR, an individual,
21 HOWARD LEDERER, an individual,
22 ANDREW BLOCH, an individual, PHILLIP
23 IVEY, an individual, CHRISTOPHER
24 FERGUSON, an individual, JOHN
25 JUANDA, an individual, PHILLIP
26 GORDON, an individual, ERICK
27 LINDGREN, an individual, ERIK SEIDEL,
28 an individual, JENNIFER HARMAN-
TRANIELLO, an individual, MICHAEL
MATUSOW, an individual, ALLEN
CUNNINGHAM, an individual, GUS
HANSEN, an individual, AND PATRIK
ANTONIUS, an individual,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:08-CV-01581-RCJ-RJJ

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ORDER
SHORTENING TIME ON MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER
DENYING AS MOOT [79] MOTION TO
COMPEL DISCOVERY; DENYING AS
MOOT [80] MOTION FOR SANCTIONS
RE DISCOVERY; AND, DENYING AS
MOOT [82] MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE
ORDER BASED ON A RULING BY THE
COURT AT THE HEARING HELD
4/27/2009, GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO DISMISS**

Howard & Howard, Attorneys PLLC
3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 1400
Las Vegas, NV 89169
(702) 257-1483

I.
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. BECAUSE DEFENDANT TILTWARE DID NOT MOVE TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS FOR ACCOUNTING AND QUANTUM MERUIT, THE COURT COULD NOT HAVE DISMISSED THOSE CAUSES OF ACTION; THEREFORE, THE COURT SHOULD RECONSIDER ITS ORDER VACATING THE HEARING SET FOR APRIL 30, 2009 AND PROCEED AS SCHEDULED.

A district court has the inherent power to reconsider and modify its interlocutory orders prior to the entry of judgment. *Smith v. Massachussets*, 543 U.S. 462, 475 125 S.Ct. 1129, 1139 (2005). On February 20, 2009, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint. Defendants' Motion did not move to dismiss Plaintiff's claims for Accounting ad Quantum Meruit against Defendant Tiltware. See Transcript of Proceedings of Telephonic Hearing On Plaintiff's Ex Parte Motion, No. 58 p. lines 15-21.

On April 25, 2009, the Court granted Defendants' Motion to Dismiss to the extent that Defendants Tiltware, Bitar, and Lederer were dismissed without prejudice, and the remaining individual Defendants were dismissed with prejudice. During the hearing there was no mention of Plaintiff's Accounting and Quantum Meruit claims. Therefore, unless the Court dismissed those claims sua sponte (of which there was no discussion), they still remain. Accordingly, Tiltware must file an Answer, and Plaintiff should be permitted to conduct discovery related to those causes of action.

Further, due to the fact that Plaintiff's Accounting and Quantum Meruit claims still exist, Tiltware does not have the right to a protective order or to cause further delay. See *Twin City Fire Ins. Co. v. Employers Ins. Of Wausau*, 124 F.R.D. 652, 653 (D. Nev. 1989). As such, the Motions which were set to be heard on April 30, 2009, should not be vacated as moot, and they should proceed as scheduled.

Howard & Howard, Attorneys PLLC
3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 1400
Las Vegas, NV 89169
(702) 257-1483

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

II.
CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court reconsider its Order and conduct the April 30, 2009 hearing as previously scheduled.

Dated: This 28th day of April, 2009

HOWARD & HOWARD PLLC

By:/s/ James A. Kohl

James A. Kohl, Bar No. 5692

3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Ste. 1400

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Attorneys for Plaintiff


Howard & Howard, Attorneys PLLC
3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 1400
Las Vegas, NV 89169
(702) 257-1483

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to FRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of HOWARD & HOWARD and that on this 28th day of April 2009, I did cause a true copy of the foregoing **PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER DENYING AS MOOT [79] MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY; DENYING AS MOOT [80] MOTION FOR SANCTIONS RE DISCOVERY; AND, DENYING AS MOOT [82] MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER BASED ON A RULING BY THE COURT AT THE HEARING HELD 4/27/2009, GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS** to be served via electronic service on the following counsel of record.

Thomas D. Dillard, Jr., Esq.
Walter R. Cannon, Esq.
Olson, Cannon, Gormley & Desruisseaux
9950 W. Cheyenne Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89129

George M. Belfield, Esq.
Valerie W. Ho, Esq.
Greengerg Traurig, LLP
2450 Colorado Avenue
Santa Monica, CA 90404


An employee of Howard & Howard

Howard & Howard, Attorneys PLLC
3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 1400
Las Vegas, NV 89169
(702) 257-1483

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28